arXiv:1212.5228v2 [hep-th] 6 Feb 2013

NRCPS-HE-47-2012

Mixed Symmetry Tensor Fields and New Topological Invariants

George Georgiou and *George Savvidy*

Demokritos National Research Center
Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics
Ag. Paraskevi, GR-15310 Athens,Greece
E-mail: georgiou@inp.demokritos.gr, savvidy@inp.demokritos.gr

Abstract

In this article we demonstrate that a class of the non-Abelian tensor gauge fields can be extended to include fields of mixed symmetries and therefore allows to construct the new gauge invariant forms in 2n+4 and 2n+2 dimensions. These new forms are analogous to the Pontryagin-Chern-Simons densities in YM gauge theory and to the corresponding series of densities in 2n+3 and 6n dimensions constructed recently in arXiv:1205.0027.

Keywords: Gauge Fields; Tensor Gauge Fields; Chern-Simons secondary characteristics

1 *Introduction*

The Abelian and non-Abelian *chiral anomalies* can be determine by a differential geometric method without having to evaluate the Feynman diagrams [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17]. The non-Abelian anomaly in $2n-2$-dimensional space-time may be obtained from the Abelian anomaly in $2n$ dimensions by a series of reduction (transgression) steps and can be represented in a compact integral form [6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17]. In $\mathcal{D} = 2n$ dimensions, the $U_A(1)$ anomaly is given by a $2n$-form:

$$\mathcal{P}_{2n} = Tr(G^n) = d\ \omega_{2n-1}, \tag{1.1}$$

where ω_{2n-1} is the Chern-Simons form to $2n-1$ dimensions [6, 13]:

$$\omega_{2n-1}(A) = n \int_0^1 dt\ Tr(AG_t^{n-1}), \tag{1.2}$$

where $G = dA + A^2$ is the 2-form YM field-strength tensor of the 1-form vector field $A = -igA^a_\mu L_a dx^\mu$ and $G_t = tG + (t^2-t)A^2$.

In the recent articles [18, 19] the authors have found the similar invariants in non-Abelian tensor gauge field theory [20, 21, 22]. These forms are defined in dimensions $\mathcal{D} = 2n+3$:

$$\Gamma_{2n+3}(A, A_2) = Tr(G^n G_3) = d\ \sigma_{2n+2}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $G_3 = dA_2 + [A, A_2]$ is the 3-form field-strength tensor for the rank-2 gauge field $A_2 = -igA^a_{\mu\lambda} L_a dx^\mu \wedge dx^\lambda$ and $G_{3t} = tG_3 + (t^2-t)[A, A_2]$. The $(2n+2)$-form σ_{2n+2} is [19]:

$$\sigma_{2n+2}(A, A_2) = \int_0^1 dt\ Tr(AG_t^{n-1}G_{3t} + ... + G_t^{n-1}AG_{3t} + G_t^n\ A_2). \tag{1.4}$$

The second series of exact $6n$-forms is defined in terms of the 3-form gauge field-strength G_3 as [19]:

$$\Delta_{6n}(A, A_2) = Tr(G_3)^{2n} = d\pi_{6n-1}, \tag{1.5}$$

where for the $(6n-1)$-form one gets the following expression [19]:

$$\pi_{6n-1}(A, A_2) = 2n \int_0^1\ dt\ Tr(A_2 G_{3t}^{2n-1}) \tag{1.6}$$

and are defined in $\mathcal{D} = 6n-1$ dimensions.

The very fact that the tensor gauge fields introduced in [20, 21, 22] are symmetric over their last indices (see equation (2.1)) prevents the construction of the invariant forms involving higher rank tensor gauge fields, that is the fields of the rank higher than two - A_2. Our intension in this article is to demonstrate that a class of the non-Abelian tensor gauge fields (2.1) can be extended to include fields of mixed symmetries and therefore allows to construct the new invariant forms in $\mathcal{D} = 2n+4$ and $\mathcal{D} = 2n+2$ dimensions. These new forms $\Phi_{2n+4} = d\psi_{2n+3}$ and $\Omega_{2n+2} = d\chi_{2n+1}$ are analogous to the Pontryagin-Chern-Simons densities $\mathcal{P}_{2n} = d\omega_{2n-1}$ in YM gauge theory and to the corresponding series of densities $\Gamma_{2n+3} = d\sigma_{2n+2}$ and $\Delta_{6n} = d\pi_{6n-1}$ found in [19].

In the next section we shall introduce the tensor gauge fields of mixed symmetries, their gauge transformations and the corresponding field strength tensor. In the third and fourth sections the invariant forms Φ_{2n+4} and Ω_{2n+2} will be constructed. In the fifth section we shall consider the most general tensor gauge fields of mixed symmetries which are possible to embed into the existing framework of generalized YM theory [20, 21, 22].

2 *Mixed Symmetry Tensor Gauge Fields*

In the model of massless tensor gauge fields suggested in [20, 21, 22] the gauge fields are defined as rank-$(s+1)$ tensors

$$A^a_{\mu\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_s}(x), \tag{2.1}$$

which are totally symmetric with respect to the indices $\lambda_1 \ldots \lambda_s$. The number of symmetric indices s runs from zero to infinity. *A priori* the tensor fields have no symmetries with respect to the first index μ. The index a corresponds to the generators L_a of an appropriate Lie algebra. The extended non-Abelian gauge transformation δ_ξ of the tensor gauge fields is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\xi A_\mu &= \partial_\mu \xi - ig[A_\mu, \xi] \qquad (2.2)\\
\delta_\xi A_{\mu\lambda} &= \partial_\mu \xi_\lambda - ig[A_\mu, \xi_\lambda] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda}, \xi]\\
\delta_\xi A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2} &= \partial_\mu \xi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2} - ig[A_\mu, \xi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_1}, \xi_{\lambda_2}] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_2}, \lambda_1] - ig[A_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \xi],\\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

where $\xi^a_{\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_s}(x)$ are totally symmetric gauge parameters and comprises a closed algebraic structure. The generalized field-strength tensors are defined as [20, 21, 22]:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[A_\mu\ A_\nu], \qquad (2.3)\\
G_{\mu\nu,\lambda} &= \partial_\mu A_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu\lambda} - ig(\ [A_\mu\ A_{\nu\lambda}] + [A_{\mu\lambda}\ A_\nu]\ ),\\
G_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} &= \partial_\mu A_{\nu\lambda\rho} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu\lambda\rho} - ig(\ [A_\mu\ A_{\nu\lambda\rho}] + [A_{\mu\lambda}\ A_{\nu\rho}] + [A_{\mu\rho}\ A_{\nu\lambda}] + [A_{\mu\lambda\rho}\ A_\nu]\ ),\\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

and transform homogeneously with respect to the extended gauge transformations δ_ξ. The tensor gauge fields are in the matrix representation $A^{ab}_{\mu\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_s} = (L_c)^{ab} A^c_{\mu\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_s} = i f^{acb} A^c_{\mu\lambda_1\ldots\lambda_s}$ with f^{abc} - the structure constants of the Lie algebra.

Using field-strength tensors one can construct infinite series of forms $\mathcal{L}_s$ invariant under the transformations δ_ξ. They are quadratic in field-strength tensors. The first terms are given by the formula [20, 21, 22]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{YM} + \mathcal{L}_2 + \ldots = & - \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu} \\
& - \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} - \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\lambda} \\
& + \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} G^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu} + \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu,\nu} G^a_{\mu\lambda,\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu\lambda} + \ldots
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The Lagrangian contains quadratic in gauge fields kinetic terms, as well as cubic and quartic terms describing non-linear interactions of gauge fields with dimensionless coupling constant g.

Here we shall consider a new set of fields which have different symmetries and can be unified with the previous system of fields (2.1). First let us consider the rank-3 field which is now antisymmetric over its last two indices

$$A^a_{\mu\sigma_1\sigma_2}(x) = -A^a_{\mu\sigma_2\sigma_1}(x), \tag{2.5}$$

for this field the gauge transformation should be defined in following way

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta A_\mu &= \partial_\mu \xi - ig[A_\mu, \xi], \\
\delta A_{\mu\sigma_1\sigma_2} &= \partial_\mu - ig[A_\mu, \zeta_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}] - ig[A_{\mu\sigma_1\sigma_2}, \xi],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where the tensor gauge parameter is antisymmetric $\zeta^a_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = -\zeta^a_{\sigma_2\sigma_1}$. As one can verify these transformations form a closed algebra because

$$[\delta_\zeta, \delta_\varphi] A_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \delta_\chi A_{\mu\nu\lambda},$$

where

$$\chi = [\zeta, \varphi], \qquad \chi_{\sigma_1\sigma_2} = [\zeta, \varphi_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}] + [\zeta_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}, \varphi]. \tag{2.7}$$

It is useful to compare the gauge transformations of the $A^a_{\mu\lambda_1\lambda_2}$ in (2.2) and of the $A^a_{\mu\sigma_1\sigma_2}$ in (2.6). As one can see they formally coincide, expect the term $[A_{\mu\lambda_1}\xi_{\lambda_2}] + [A_{\mu\lambda_2}\xi_{\lambda_1}]$, which is explicitly symmetric under $\lambda_1 \leftrightarrow \lambda_2$ permutations. Therefore these fields can be unified into a general rank-3 gauge field $A^a_{\mu\nu\lambda}$ of which the symmetric and antisymmetric parts, with respect to the last two indices, reproduce the original fields.

In general we shall define the infinite set of fields

$$A^a_{\mu\ \sigma_1\sigma_2\ \rho_1\rho_2\ ....\ \kappa_1\kappa_2}(x) \tag{2.8}$$

which are antisymmetric with respect to the permutations of pairs of indices

$$\sigma_1 \leftrightarrow \sigma_2, \quad \rho_1 \leftrightarrow \rho_2, \quad ..., \quad \kappa_1 \leftrightarrow \kappa_2,$$

but are symmetric under any permutations of these pairs

$$\sigma_1\sigma_2 \leftrightarrow \rho_1\rho_2\ , \quad ...., \ \sigma_1\sigma_2 \leftrightarrow \kappa_1\kappa_2, ...$$

Thus for these fields take place the following relations

$$\begin{gathered} A^a_{\mu\ \sigma_1\sigma_2\ \rho_1\rho_2\ ....\ \kappa_1\kappa_2} = -A^a_{\mu\ \sigma_2\sigma_1\ \rho_1\rho_2\ ....\ \kappa_1\kappa_2} = -A^a_{\mu\ \sigma_1\sigma_2\ \rho_2\rho_1\ ....\ \kappa_1\kappa_2} = ... \\ -A^a_{\mu\ \sigma_1\sigma_2\ \rho_1\rho_2\ ....\ \kappa_2\kappa_1} = A^a_{\mu\ \rho_1\rho_2\ \sigma_1\sigma_2\ ....\ \kappa_1\kappa_2} = ... = A^a_{\mu\ \kappa_1\kappa_2\ \rho_1\rho_2\ ....\ \sigma_1\sigma_2} = ... \\ = A^a_{\mu\ \sigma_1\sigma_2\ \kappa_1\kappa_2\ ....\ \rho_1\rho_2} = ... \end{gathered}$$

To simplify the description of these fields one can say that we have the gauge fields of the same type as in (2.1), but now the indices $\{\lambda\}$ are replaced by the symbols $\{\hat{\sigma}\}$ which are now the multi-indices

$$\lambda \rightarrow \hat{\sigma} \equiv (\sigma^{'}, \sigma^{''}).$$

The new class of fields (2.8) can be represented in the form

$$A^a_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1...\hat{\sigma}_s}(x), \tag{2.9}$$

these fields are totally symmetric under permutations of the symbols $\hat{\sigma}_i$ and are antisymmetric under permutation of the indices within the each symbol $\hat{\sigma}_i$. We shall define the gauge transformations of these fields as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A^a_{\mu} &= \partial_\mu \xi - ig[A_\mu, \xi], \qquad (2.10) \\ \delta A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1} &= \partial_\mu \zeta_{\hat{\sigma}_1} - ig[A_\mu, \zeta_{\hat{\sigma}_1}] - ig[A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1}, \xi], \\ \delta A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1\hat{\sigma}_2} &= \partial_\mu \zeta_{\hat{\sigma}_1\hat{\sigma}_2} - ig[A_\mu, \zeta_{\hat{\sigma}_1\hat{\sigma}_2}] - ig[A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1}, \zeta_{\hat{\sigma}_2}] - ig[A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_2}, \zeta_{\hat{\sigma}_1}] - ig[A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1\hat{\sigma}_2}, \xi], \\ .... &\ . \ .... \end{aligned}$$

where the gauge parameters $\zeta^a_{\hat{\sigma}_1...\hat{\sigma}_s}$ are totally symmetric under permutations of the symbols $\hat{\sigma}_i$ and are antisymmetric under permutation of the indices within the each symbol $\hat{\sigma}_i$. The field strength tensors are defined in a similar way as for the symmetric case

[20, 21, 22], thus

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig[\ A_\mu,\ A_\nu], \qquad (2.11)\\
G_{\mu\nu,\hat{\sigma}_1} &= \partial_\mu A_{\nu\hat{\sigma}_1} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1} - ig(\ [A_\mu,\ A_{\nu\hat{\sigma}_1}] + [A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1},\ A_\nu]\ ),\\
G_{\mu\nu,\hat{\sigma}_1\hat{\sigma}_2} &= \partial_\mu A_{\nu\hat{\sigma}_1\hat{\sigma}_2} - \partial_\nu A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1\hat{\sigma}_2} - ig([A_\mu, A_{\nu\hat{\sigma}_1\hat{\sigma}_2}] + [A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1}, A_{\nu\hat{\sigma}_2}]\\
&+ [A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_2}, A_{\nu\hat{\sigma}_1}] + [A_{\mu\hat{\sigma}_1\hat{\sigma}_2}, A_\nu]),\\
\ldots\ldots &. \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots
\end{aligned}$$

and are transforming homogeneously with respect to the gauge transformations δ_ζ (2.10). In these multi-indices notation the above transformations are identical with the original transformations (2.2) therefore one can define the invariant Lagrangian, which formally coincides with the one defined in [20, 21, 22], by interchanging the $\{\lambda\}$'s by $\{\sigma\}$'s

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} = &- \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\sigma} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\sigma\lambda\sigma} \qquad (2.12)\\
&+ \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\sigma} G^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu\sigma} + \frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu,\nu\sigma} G^a_{\mu\lambda,\lambda\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^a_{\mu\lambda,\nu\sigma\lambda\sigma} + \ldots .
\end{aligned}$$

3 *New Invariant Densities in $2n+4$ Dimensions*

In order to introduce higher-dimensional densities it is convenient to use the language of forms [6, 7, 14]. The one- and two-form gauge potentials are defined as $A = -igA^a_\mu L_a dx^\mu$ and $A_2 = -igA^a_{\mu\nu} L_a dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$ with the corresponding field-strength tensors (2.11):

$$G = dA + A^2\ , \qquad G_3 = dA_2 + [A, A_2]. \qquad (3.1)$$

The Bianchi identities are of the form

$$DG = 0, \qquad DG_3 + [A_2, G] = 0, \qquad (3.2)$$

where $DG = dG + [A, G]$ and $DG_3 = dG_3 + \{A, G_3\}$. With the new gauge fields in hands we shall introduce the three-form gauge potential as $A_3 = -igA^a_{\mu\sigma_1\sigma_2} L_a dx^\mu \wedge dx^{\sigma_1} \wedge dx^{\sigma_2}$ and the corresponding field strength tensor (2.11)

$$G_4 = dA_3 + \{A, A_3\} \qquad (3.3)$$

with the Bianchi identity

$$DG_4 + [A_3, G] = 0, \qquad (3.4)$$

where $DG_4 = dG_4 + [A, G_4]$.

Let us consider a higher-dimensional invariant density in $2n+4$ space-time dimensions:

$$\Phi_{2n+4} = Tr(G^n G_4), \qquad (3.5)$$

which is a natural generalization of the Chern-Pontryagin form $\mathcal{P}_{2n} = Tr(G^n)$. By direct computation of the derivative one can prove that Φ_{2n+4} is an exact form:

$$\begin{aligned}
d\Phi_{2n+4} &= Tr(dGG^{n-1}G_4 + ... + G^{n-1}dGG_4 + G^n dG_4) \\
&= Tr((dG + [A, G])G^{n-1}G_4 + ... + G^{n-1}(dG + [A, G])G_4 + G^n dG_4 - \\
&- [A, G]G^{n-1}G_4 - ... - G^{n-1}[A, G]G_4) = \\
&= Tr(DGG^{n-1}G_4 + ... + G^{n-1}DGG_4 + G^n(dG_4 + [A, G_4]) \\
&= Tr(G^n(DG_4 + [A_3, G])) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In this calculation one must change sign when transmitting the differential d through an odd form or commuting odd forms using the cyclic property of the trace, and use Bianchi identities as well. According to Poincaré's lemma, this equation implies that Φ_{2n+4} can be locally written as an exterior differential of a certain (2n +3)-form. In order to find the form of which Φ_{2n+4} is the derivative we have to find its variation, induced by the variation of the fields δA and δA_3:

$$\delta G = D(\delta A), \qquad \delta G_4 = D(\delta A_3) + \{A_3, \delta A\}$$

yielding a variation of Φ_{2n+4} which is a total derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Phi_{2n+4} &= \delta Tr(G^n G_4) = Tr(D\delta AG^{n-1}G_4 + ... + G^{n-1}D\delta AG_4 + G^n D\delta A_3 + G^n\{A_3\delta A\}) \\
&= Tr(D\delta AG^{n-1}G_4 + ... + G^{n-1}D\delta AG_4 + G^n D\delta A_3 + \\
&+ \delta A([A_3, G]G^{n-1} + G[A_3, G]G^{n-2} + ... + G^{n-1}[A_3, G])) = \\
&= Tr(D\delta AG^{n-1}G_4 + ... + G^{n-1}D\delta AG_4 + G^n D\delta A_3 - \\
&- \delta A\ DG_4\ G^{n-1} - ... - \delta A\ G^{n-1}\ DG_4) = \\
&= TrD(\delta AG^{n-1}G_4 + ... + G^{n-1}\delta AG_4 + G^n\delta A_3) = \\
&= d\ Tr(\delta AG^{n-1}G_4 + ... + G^{n-1}\delta AG_4 + G^n\delta A_3).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Following [6], we introduce a one-parameter family of potentials and strengths through the parameter t ($0 \leq t \leq 1$):

$$A_t = tA, \quad G_t = tG + (t^2 - t)A^2, \quad A_{3t} = tA_3, \quad G_{4t} = tG_4 + (t^2 - t)\{A, A_3\}, \tag{3.7}$$

so that the equation (3.6) can be rewritten as

$$\delta Tr(G_t^n\ G_{4t}) = d\ Tr(\delta A_t G_t^{n-1}G_{4t} + ... + G_t^{n-1}\delta A_t G_{4t} + G_t^n\delta A_{3t}).$$

With $\delta = \delta t(\partial/\partial t)$ and $\delta A_t = A\delta t$, $\delta A_{3t} = A_3\delta t$ we shall get by integration the desired result:

$$Tr(G^n G_4) = d\ \psi_{2n+3}\ , \tag{3.8}$$

where the corresponding secondary $(2n+3)$-form is

$$\psi_{2n+3}(A, A_3) = \int_0^1 dt\ Tr(AG_t^{n-1}G_{4t} + ... + G_t^{n-1}AG_{4t} + G_t^n\ A_3). \tag{3.9}$$

The expression (3.9) can easily be evaluated for n=1 in five dimensions:

$$\psi_5 = \int_0^1 dt\ Tr(AG_{4t} + G_t\ A_3) = Tr(GA_3) \tag{3.10}$$

In seven dimensions, $n = 2$, we have

$$\psi_7 = \int_0^1 dt\ Tr(AG_tG_{4t} + G_tAG_{4t} + G_t^2\ A_3),$$

and after integration over t we get a secondary 7-form:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_7(A, G, G_4) &= \frac{1}{3}Tr(AGG_4 + AG_4G + A_3G^2 - \frac{1}{2}A^3G_4 \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(A^2A_3 + AA_3A + A_3A^2)G + \frac{1}{2}A^4A_3). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The new property of the last functional compared with ψ_5 is that when the field-strength tensors tend to zero, $G = G_4 = 0$, the above form does not vanish and is equal to

$$\frac{1}{6}Tr(A^4A_3). \tag{3.12}$$

The subsequent forms ψ_{2n+3} are in $\mathcal{D} = 2n + 3 = 5, 7, 9, 11, \ldots$ dimensions.

4 *Invariant Forms in Eight and Ten Dimensions*

In this section we focus on a series of invariant forms that can be constructed in $2n+2$ dimensions.

We start with a special invariant form that can be constructed in eight dimensions

$$\Omega_8 = Tr(GG_6 + G_4G_4), \tag{4.1}$$

where the 6-form strength tensor (2.11) is

$$G_6 = dA_5 + \{A, A_5\} + 2A_3^2, \qquad DG_6 + 2[A_3, G_4] + [A_5, G] = 0 \tag{4.2}$$

and their gauge transformations (A.1) are

$$\delta G_6 = [G_6, \xi] + 2[G_4, \zeta_2] + [G, \zeta_4], \quad \delta G_4 = [G_4, \xi] + [G, \zeta_2]. \tag{4.3}$$

Using the above formulas one can get convince that the 8-form Ω_8 is gauge invariant and is exact $d\Omega = 0$. Thus we have

$$\Omega_8 = d\chi_7, \qquad \chi_7 = Tr(GA_5 + G_4A_3). \tag{4.4}$$

The next invariant form of a similar structure can be constructed in ten dimensions

$$\Omega_{10} = Tr(GG_8 + 3G_4G_6), \tag{4.5}$$

where the 8-form strength tensor is

$$G_8 = dA_7 + \{A, A_7\} + 3\{A_3, A_5\}, \qquad DG_8 + 3[A_3, G_6] + 3[A_5, G_4] + [A_7, G] = 0 \tag{4.6}$$

and the gauge transforms are

$$\delta G_8 = [G_8, \xi] + 3[G_6, \zeta_2] + 3[G_4, \zeta_4] + [G, \zeta_6]. \tag{4.7}$$

It is straightforward to show that the form Ω_{10} is gauge invariant and is exact $d\Omega_{10} = 0$. Thus

$$\Omega_{10} = d\chi_9, \qquad \chi_9 = Tr(GA_7 + 3G_4A_5 + \frac{3}{2}G_6A_3) \tag{4.8}$$

The general form of these invariants can be written as

$$\Omega_{2n+2} = Tr(GG_{2n} + \alpha_1 G_4 G_{2n-2} + \alpha_2 G_6 G_{2n-4} + ...) = d\chi_{2n+1} \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$\chi_{2n+1} = Tr(GA_{2n-1} + \beta_1 G_4 A_{2n-3} + \beta_2 G_6 A_{2n-5} + ...)\ . \tag{4.10}$$

and α_i, β_i are certain numerical coefficients. The forms χ_{2n+1} are defined in $\mathcal{D} = 2n+1 = 5, 7, 9, 11, \ldots$ dimensions. It is also true that $\Omega_6 \equiv \Phi_6$, see (3.8) and (3.10).

5 *Most General Tensor Gauge Fields*

The tensor gauge fields (2.1) introduced in [20, 21, 22] do have a geometrical interpretation if one introduce a unite tangent vector e^{μ} and consider the gauge field $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x,e)$ depending on this variable and then define the extended gauge transformation as in [22]

$$\mathcal{A}^{'}_{\mu}(x,e) = U(\xi)\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x,e)U^{-1}(\xi) - \frac{i}{g}\partial_{\mu}U(\xi)\ U^{-1}(\xi), \tag{5.1}$$

where the unitary transformation matrix is given by the expression $U(\xi) = exp\{ig\xi(x,e)\}$ and the gauge parameter $\xi(x,e)$ has the following expansion

$$\xi(x,e) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!}\xi^{a}_{\lambda_1 ... \lambda_s}(x)\ L_a e^{\lambda_1} ... e^{\lambda_s}. \tag{5.2}$$

Using this language one can consider the fields of mixed symmetry (2.9) introduced in this article as the gauge field depending also on antisymmetric wedge product $\omega^{\hat{\sigma}} = e^{\sigma_1} \wedge e^{\sigma_2}$ so that $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x,e,\omega)$. It's expansion in ω will generate all fields considered in the previous chapters, but in addition it will generate tenors fields which have both - vector $\{\lambda\}$ and multi-indices $\{\hat{\sigma}\}$

$$A_{\mu,\lambda_1,\lambda_2,...,\hat{\sigma}_1,\hat{\sigma}_2,...}. \tag{5.3}$$

These fields are symmetric under any permutations of all these indices and antisymmetric under permutations within each multi-index. Because in four dimensions one can construct even higher rank independent wedge products of the vector e^{μ}, such as $e^{\sigma_1} \wedge e^{\sigma_2} \wedge e^{\sigma_3}$ and $e^{\sigma_1} \wedge e^{\sigma_2} \wedge e^{\sigma_3} \wedge e^{\sigma_4}$, one can consider tensor fields depending on these antisymmetric variables. If one use the multi-index variable $\hat{\sigma}$ to denote double $\hat{\sigma} \equiv (\sigma^{'}, \sigma^{''})$, triple $(\sigma^{'}, \sigma^{''}, \sigma^{'''})$ and quadric $(\sigma^{'}, \sigma^{''}, \sigma^{'''}, \sigma^{''''})$ multi-indices then the tensor gauge fields will be of the same form as in (5.3), but with double, triple and quadric multi-indices. It is not difficult to find out their gauge transformations and corresponding field strength tensors.

6 *Conclusions*

Introducing natural generalization of the tensor gauge fields considered in [20, 21, 22] we are able to construct even larger class of gauge invariant densities which are analogous to the Pontryagin-Chern-Simons densities $\mathcal{P}_{2n}$ in YM gauge theory. Indeed the very fact that the tensor gauge fields introduced in [20, 21, 22] are symmetric over their last indices (see equation (2.1)) prevents the construction of the invariant forms involving higher rank tensor gauge fields, that is the fields of the rank higher than two - A_2.

In this article we demonstrated that a class of the non-Abelian tensor gauge fields (2.1) can be extended to include fields of mixed symmetries and therefore allows to construct the new invariant forms in $\mathcal{D} = 2n + 4$ and $\mathcal{D} = 2n + 2$ dimensions. These new forms $\Phi_{2n+4} = d\psi_{2n+3}$ and $\Omega_{2n+2} = d\chi_{2n+1}$ are analogous to the Pontryagin-Chern-Simons densities $\mathcal{P}_{2n} = d\omega_{2n-1}$ in YM gauge theory and to the corresponding series of densities $\Gamma_{2n+3} = d\sigma_{2n+2}$ and $\Delta_{6n} = d\pi_{6n-1}$ found in [19], yielding the potential anomalies in gauge field theory. The above general considerations should be supplemented by an explicit calculation of loop diagrams involving chiral fermions. The argument in favor of the existence of these potential anomalies is based on the fact that they fulfill Wess-Zumino consistency conditions. At the same time, these invariant densities constructed on the space-time manifold have their own independent value since they suggest the existence of new invariants characterizing topological properties of a manifold.

This work was partly supported by the General Secretariat for Research and Technology of Greece and from the European Regional Development Fund (NSRF 2007-13 ACTION,KRIPIS)

A Field Strengths Transformations

The gauge transformations δ_ξ of non-Abelian tensor gauge fields were defined in [20, 21, 22] as (2.2). The generalized field-strength tensors (2.11) transform homogeneously under these gauge transformations δ_ξ:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta G^a_{\mu\nu} &= -ig[G_{\mu\nu}\ \xi], \qquad\qquad (A.1)\\
\delta G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda} &= -ig(\ [\ G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}\ \xi] + [G_{\mu\nu}\ \xi_\lambda]\ ),\\
\delta G^a_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho} &= -ig(\ [G^b_{\mu\nu,\lambda\rho}\ \xi] + [G_{\mu\nu,\lambda}\ \xi_\rho] + [G_{\mu\nu,\rho}\ \xi_\lambda] + [G_{\mu\nu}\ \xi_{\lambda\rho}]\ ),\\
\ldots\ldots &\ .\ \ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] S. L. Adler, *Axial vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics,* Phys. Rev. **177** (1969) 2426.

[2] J. S. Bell and R. Jackiw, *A PCAC puzzle: π_0 to $\gamma\gamma$ in the sigma model,* Nuovo Cim. A **60** (1969) 47.

[3] W. A. Bardeen, *Anomalous Ward identities in spinor field theories,* Phys. Rev. **184** (1969) 1848.

[4] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Consequences of anomalous Ward identities,* Phys. Lett. B **37** (1971) 95.

[5] P. H. Frampton and T. W. Kephart, *The Analysis Of Anomalies In Higher Space-time Dimensions,* Phys. Rev. D **28** (1983) 1010.

[6] B. Zumino, *Chiral Anomalies And Differential Geometry*, Lectures Given At Les Houches, August 1983, LBL-16747, UCB-PTH-83/16

[7] R. Stora, *Algebraic Structure And Topological Origin Of Anomalies,* LAPP-TH-94, Nov 1983. 20pp. Seminar given at Cargese Summer Inst.: Progress in Gauge Field Theory, Cargese, France, Sep 1-15, 1983. Published in Cargese Summer Inst.1983:0543

[8] L. D. Faddeev, *Operator Anomaly For The Gauss Law,* Phys. Lett. B **145** (1984) 81.

[9] L. D. Faddeev and S. L. Shatashvili, *Algebraic and Hamiltonian Methods in the Theory of Nonabelian Anomalies,* Theor. Math. Phys. **60** (1985) 770 [Teor. Mat. Fiz. **60** (1984) 206].

[10] J. Mickelsson, *Chiral Anomalies in Even and Odd Dimensions,* Commun. Math. Phys. **97** (1985) 361.

[11] B. Zumino, Y. -S. Wu and A. Zee, *Chiral Anomalies, Higher Dimensions, and Differential Geometry,* Nucl. Phys. B **239**, 477 (1984).

[12] J. Manes, R. Stora and B. Zumino, *Algebraic Study Of Chiral Anomalies,* Commun. Math. Phys. **102** (1985) 157.

[13] S. B. Treiman, E. Witten, R. Jackiw and B. Zumino, *Current Algebra And Anomalies, Singapore, Singapore: World Scientific (1985) 537p*

[14] L. D. Faddeev, *Hamiltonian approach to the theory of anomalies,* in *Schladming 1987, Proceedings, Recent Developments In Mathematical Physics* 137-159.

[15] L. D. Faddeev and S. L. Shatashvili, *Realization of the Schwinger Term in the Gauss Law and the Possibility of Correct Quantization of a Theory with Anomalies,* Phys. Lett. B **167** (1986) 225.

[16] D. K. Faddeev, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **8** (1947) 361.

[17] L. Alvarez-Gaume, *An Introduction To Anomalies,* HUTP-85/A092. Lectures given at the International School of Mathematical Physics on *Fundamental Problems of Gauge Field Theory*, Erice, Italy,1-14 July 1985.

[18] G. Savvidy, *Topological mass generation in four-dimensional gauge theory,* Phys. Lett. B **694**, 65 (2010) [arXiv:1001.2808 [hep-th]].

[19] I. Antoniadis and G. Savvidy, *New gauge anomalies and topological invariants in various dimensions,* Eur. Phys. J. C **72** (2012) 2140 [arXiv:1205.0027 [hep-th]].

[20] G. Savvidy, *Non-Abelian tensor gauge fields: Generalization of Yang-Mills theory,* Phys. Lett. B **625** (2005) 341 [arXiv:hep-th/0509049]

[21] G. Savvidy, *Non-abelian tensor gauge fields. I,* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 4931.

[22] G. Savvidy, *Non-abelian tensor gauge fields. II,* Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21** (2006) 4959.

[23] R. Grimm and S. Marculescu, *The structure of anomalies for arbitrary dimension of the space-time,* Nucl. Phys. B **68** (1974) 203.

[24] W. A. Bardeen and B. Zumino, *Consistent and Covariant Anomalies in Gauge and Gravitational Theories,* Nucl. Phys. B **244** (1984) 421.

[25] L. Alvarez-Gaume and P. H. Ginsparg, *The Structure of Gauge and Gravitational Anomalies,* Annals Phys. **161** (1985) 423 [Erratum-ibid. **171** (1986) 233].

[26] L. Alvarez-Gaume and P. H. Ginsparg, *Geometry Anomalies,* Nucl. Phys. B **262** (1985) 439.